



## EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

Focused  
on YOU



EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT

Single Audit Report

For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

**EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT**

Single Audit Report

For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

Table of Contents

	<u>Page Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance.....	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Year Ended June 30, 2025.....	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.....	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the Year Ended June 30, 2025.....	8
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs for the Year Ended June 30, 2024.....	10



## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors  
East Bay Municipal Utility District  
Oakland, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of East Bay Municipal Utility District (the "District"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 2025.

### **Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements, on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

### **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.



To the Board of Directors  
East Bay Municipal Utility District  
Oakland, California

### **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

**LSL, LLP**

Sacramento, California  
December 15, 2025



**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM  
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF  
FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Board of Directors  
East Bay Municipal Utility District  
Oakland, California

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

***Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

We have audited the East Bay Municipal Utility District (hereafter, the "District")'s compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the OMB *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025.

***Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

***Responsibilities of Management for Compliance***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the District's federal programs.

***Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it



To the Board of Directors  
East Bay Municipal Utility District  
Oakland, California

exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the District's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.



To the Board of Directors  
East Bay Municipal Utility District  
Oakland, California

**Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statements of each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 17, 2025, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

**LSL, LLP**

Sacramento, California  
November 17, 2025 (Except for the Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance, which is dated December 15, 2025)

**EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT**  
**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**  
**For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

---

<i>Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title</i>	<i>Federal Assistance Listing Number</i>	<i>Unique Entity Identifier Number</i>	<i>Total Federal Expenditures</i>
Department of Homeland Security Programs:			
Passed through from the California Governor's Office of Emergency Services			
Hazard Mitigation Grant Program	97.039	N6LBY2YUZ8C1	\$ 348,672
Disaster Grant - Public Assistance Program *	97.036	N6LBY2YUZ8C1	1,506,088
			<hr/>
Total Department of Homeland Security Programs:			1,854,760
Department of the Interior:			
Direct program:			
WaterSMART (Sustain and Manage America's Resources for Tomorrow)	15.507	N/A	21,034
Improving Conditions for California's Central Valley Anadromous Fisheries	15.580	N/A	199,637
			<hr/>
			220,671
<b>Total Other Programs</b>			<b>2,075,431</b>
<b>Total Expenditures of Federal Awards</b>			<b>\$ 2,075,431</b>

\* Major Program

<sup>1</sup> There was no federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance and insurance in effect during the year.

<sup>2</sup> The amount provided to subrecipients during the year was \$0.

The notes to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are an integral part of this schedule.

**EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT**  
**Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**  
**For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

---

**NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES APPLICABLE TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS**

**A. Scope of Presentation**

The accompanying schedule presents only the expenditures incurred by the East Bay Municipal Utility District (the District), that are reimbursable under federal programs of federal financial assistance. For the purposes of this schedule, federal awards include both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the District from a non-federal agency or other organization. Only the portion of program expenditures reimbursable with such federal funds is reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum federal reimbursement authorized or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

**B. Basis of Accounting**

The expenditures included in the accompanying schedule were reported on the full-accrual basis of accounting. Under the full-accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are recorded at the time liabilities are incurred. Expenditures reported included any property or equipment acquisitions incurred under the federal program. The District has elected not to use the de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

**EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

---

**SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS**

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued: Unmodified Opinion

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified?  yes  no
- Significant deficiencies identified?  yes  none reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?  yes  no

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified?  yes  no
- Significant deficiencies identified?  yes  none reported

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified Opinion

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance)?

yes  no

Identification of major programs:

<u>Assistance Listing Number(s)</u>	<u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u>
-------------------------------------	---

97.036	Disaster Grants – Public Assistance
--------	-------------------------------------

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B program \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?  yes  no

**EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT**  
**Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**  
**For the Year Ended June 30, 2025**

---

**SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

No matters were reported.

**SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

No matters were reported.



**SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024**

**Finding: 2024-001: Significant Deficiency over Financial Reporting**

Appropriate corrective actions were taken; this finding is considered resolved and is not repeated in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025.