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## SUMMARY

The emigration of juvenile Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) and steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss) on the lower Mokelumne River was monitored using two rotary screw traps (RST) and a bypass trap during the 2017/18 season. The upstream rotary screw trap (VINO) was positioned just upstream of the Elliot Road Bridge at river kilometer (rkm) 87.4 and was operated from 12 December 2017 to 29 June 2018. The downstream rotary screw trap (GOLF) was located just below the Lower Sacramento Road Bridge at rkm 61.8 and was operated from 3 January to 28 June 2018. The bypass trap (BYPASS), located at Woodbridge Irrigation District Dam (rkm 62.2), was operated from 3 April to 29 June 2018.

The first juvenile Chinook salmon was captured at the VINO RST on 29 December 2017. Including weekend estimates, the catch of naturally produced young-of-the-year (YOY) Chinook salmon was 38,778 during the monitoring period. Fourteen trap efficiency tests were conducted at VINO: four tests using naturally produced salmon and ten tests using hatchery produced salmon. The annual estimated emigration abundance of naturally produced YOY Chinook salmon at the VINO RST was 456,372 (95\% CI: 380,500532,243 ). Including weekend estimates, the catch of wild YOY steelhead was 558 at the VINO RST during the 2017/18 season. The estimated passage of wild YOY steelhead (based on trap calibrations using Chinook salmon) was 9,775 (95\% CI: 6,886-12,663).

The first juvenile Chinook salmon was captured at the GOLF RST on 9 January 2018. Including weekend estimates, the catch of naturally produced YOY Chinook salmon was 7,266 during the monitoring period. Twelve trap efficiency tests were conducted at GOLF, all of which were conducted using hatchery produced salmon. The annual estimated emigration abundance of naturally produced YOY Chinook salmon at the GOLF RST was 32,851 ( $95 \%$ CI: $26,978-38,725$ ). Including weekend estimates, the catch of wild YOY steelhead was 60 at the GOLF RST during the 2017/18 season. The estimated passage of wild YOY steelhead (based on trap calibrations using Chinook salmon) was 2,387 (95\% CI: 1,427-3,347).

The first juvenile Chinook salmon was captured at the bypass trap (BYPASS) on 4 April 2018. Including weekend estimates, catch of naturally produced YOY Chinook salmon was 7,266 at the BYPASS during the monitoring period. The total downstream salmon emigration abundance estimate, calculated from adding the BYPASS trap catch to the GOLF RST abundance estimate, was 40,117 (95\% CI: 34,244-45,991). Including weekend estimates, catch of wild YOY steelhead was 130 at the BYPASS during the 2017/18 season. The total downstream passage estimate of wild YOY steelhead, calculated from adding the BYPASS trap catch to the GOLF RST estimate, was 2,517 (95\% CI: 1,557-3,477).

Although age 1+ steelhead are captured during trapping, abundance estimates were only calculated for YOY steelhead. Catch of other steelhead life stages are summarized below. At the VINO RST, six wild age $1+$ steelhead were captured and classified as parr. At the downstream traps (GOLF and BYPASS), nine wild age 1+ steelhead were captured and classified as silvery parr, smolt, or adult. Steelhead catch at the downstream traps also consisted of 15 hatchery origin (adipose fin-clipped) age $1+$ smolts and adults.

Twenty fish species were caught at the VINO RST during the survey period: eight native and twelve non-native species. Native fish species were more frequently caught than nonnative species and Chinook salmon (no adipose fin-clip) was the most abundant species caught. At the downstream GOLF trap, 30 fish species were caught, made up of nine native and 21 non-native species. Numerically, native fish made up the majority of the catch and hatchery Chinook salmon (adipose fin-clip) was the most abundant species caught.

Average daily water releases from Camanche Reservoir ranged from 329 cubic feet per second (cfs) ( $9.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) to $2,501 \mathrm{cfs}\left(70.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ during the monitoring period. During the trapping season, five water releases from Camanche Reservoir exceeded 1,000 cfs over a total of 27 days. From 1 October 2017 through 31 March 2018, East Bay Municipal Utility District (EBMUD) operated under a "Normal and Above" JSA water year type. From 1 April through 30 September 2018, EBMUD operated under a "Normal and Above" JSA water year type.

## INTRODUCTION

EBMUD has been monitoring juvenile salmonid emigration on the lower Mokelumne River (LMR) since 1990 (Bianchi et al. 1992, Marine 2000, Workman et al. 2007, Bilski et al. 2013). Nearly all salmonid spawning occurs in a 16 -rkm reach of the LMR below Camanche Dam (Setka 2004). Fish traps are operated with the objectives of estimating the abundance and monitoring the emigration patterns of anadromous fish species in the LMR. This report presents the monitoring results for rotary screw trap operations from December 2017 through early July 2018.

## METHODS

Environmental Data

Water quality measurements (turbidity, temperature, dissolved oxygen, and flow) were collected daily at each location during trap checks. Turbidity samples were collected by submerging a sample jar to a depth of 0.3 m and allowing it to fill with water. Turbidity samples were processed in the lab using a Hach ${ }^{\circledR}$ P1000 turbidimeter. Water temperature and dissolved oxygen data were collected using a YSI 550A handheld dissolved oxygen meter. Flow and additional water temperature measurements were provided by EBMUD's Camanche Dam (rkm 103), Victor (rkm 80.7), Golf (rkm 61.3), and Frandy (rkm 46.4) gauging stations (Figure 1).

## Rotary screw traps

One 8-ft (cone diameter) rotary screw trap (E.G. Solutions, Inc.) was operated at upstream and downstream locations, respectively, on the lower Mokelumne River (Figure 1). The RST operated at the upstream location was near the Elliott Road Bridge, adjacent to property owned by Vino Farms, at rkm 87.4. The downstream location was operated just below Woodbridge Irrigation District Dam (WIDD), and adjacent to the Lodi Golf and Country Club at rkm 61.8. In this report, the upstream and downstream RST sites are referred to as VINO and GOLF, respectively.

During the 2017/18 monitoring season when traps were operational, RSTs were generally operated Monday through Friday, between December and June. During Monday through Friday operations, traps were taken out of service after each check on Friday afternoon and reset each Monday morning. Efforts were made to position RSTs in the thalweg of the river where water velocities were $0.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ or greater (USFWS 2008). Water velocity was measured at the center of the trap cone, six inches below the water surface, at the beginning of each trap check. Rotations were measured using a stopwatch to record the time for three full rotations. Rotations per minute (RPMs) were calculated and recorded at each trap check. Efforts were made to maintain a rotational speed of 2 RPM or greater at both RSTs. Trap cables were adjusted to optimize rotations. Cone rotations, since the previous trap check, were read off of a Redington ${ }^{\circledR}$ mechanical counter mounted on side rails near the mouth of the cone. Pontoons, cones, live boxes and decks were cleaned daily to maintain traps in good working order. Cables, pulleys, counters and cones were inspected daily to ensure proper function.

## Bypass Trap

A smolt bypass trap was operated in the bypass pipe at WIDD (rkm 62.2) during the 2017/18 trapping season (Figure 1). The bypass trap (referred to as BYPASS) conveys fish that are screened off of the Woodbridge Irrigation Canal when Woodbridge Irrigation District is diverting water from the LMR. A fish crowder and a long-handled dip net were used to capture fish. Debris was cleared from the trap during each check.

## Calibrations

Multiple trap efficiency tests were conducted at each RST throughout the emigration period to provide an estimate of the proportion of juvenile Chinook salmon each RST was capturing. Naturally produced Chinook salmon were used for the trap efficiency trials when salmon catch was high enough to produce a group of test fish. Additional test
salmon were provided by California Department of Fish and Wildlife at the Mokelumne River Fish Hatchery (MOKH). Bismark® brown dye and/or upper caudal fin clips were used to mark groups of test fish for the VINO trap. A lower caudal fin clip and/or Bismark ${ }^{\circledR}$ brown dye were used to mark groups of test fish for the GOLF trap. The Bismark ${ }^{\circledR}$ brown dye was applied by holding test fish in an aerated tank of dye solution for approximately 30 minutes. Approximately 0.2 g of dye was added to a 5 gallon bucket of river water to prepare the solution. Mark retention and mortality rates were determined before releasing test fish. Calibration fish for GOLF were released below the face of Woodbridge Dam, approximately 0.1 rkm upstream of the trap location. Test fish for VINO were released approximately 0.25 rkm upstream of the trap location. The test fish were distributed proportionally to the flow across the river at each location.

## Rotary Screw Trap Abundance Estimates

Daily catch estimates were generated for non-trapping days by taking an average of daily catch for three days preceding and following these periods (Appendix A). RST abundance estimates were generated for juvenile Chinook salmon and steelhead using a stratified mark-recovery approach with a single partial capture trap (Volkhardt et al. 2007). The number of marked fish released $M_{i}$ and the number of marked fish recaptured $m_{i}$ were applied to unmarked catch numbers over each trap efficiency period $n_{i}$ to produce abundance estimates over that time $\hat{A}_{i}$ :

$$
\hat{A}_{i}=\frac{n_{i}\left(M_{i}+1\right)}{m_{i}+1}
$$

where,
$A_{i}=$ Estimated abundance of unmarked fish during period $i$
$M_{i}=$ Number of fish marked and released during period $i$
$n_{i}=$ Number of unmarked fish captured during period $i$
$m_{i}=$ Number of marked fish captured during period $i$
Variance $V\left(\hat{A}_{i}\right)$ for abundance estimates was calculated as follows:

$$
V\left(\hat{A}_{i}\right)=\frac{\left(M_{i}+1\right)\left(n_{i}+m_{i}+1\right)\left(M_{i}-m_{i}\right) n_{i}}{\left(m_{i}+1\right)^{2}\left(m_{i}+2\right)}
$$

Total juvenile abundance $\hat{A}$ was calculated by the sum of $n$ abundance estimates for each efficiency period $\hat{A}_{i}$ :

$$
\hat{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{A}_{i}
$$

Variance associated with total juvenile abundance $V(\hat{A})$ was calculated using the same approach:

$$
V(\hat{A})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} V\left(\widehat{A}_{i}\right)
$$

The 95\% confidence interval (CI) associated with total juvenile abundance was calculated as follows:

$$
95 \% C I=\hat{A} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{V(\hat{A})}
$$

## Fish Handling and Condition Factors

Captured fish were processed in the field, adjacent to the trapping site, or in a tagging trailer near the trap. The trailer was equipped with a flow-through water supply and recirculating anesthetic bath to allow for safe processing of larger numbers of fish. The trailer was used at VINO during the beginning of the season and later transferred to Woodbridge Dam when smolt-sized salmon were caught at the GOLF trap. A $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ solution of eugenol (AQUI-S ${ }^{\circledR} 20 \mathrm{E}$ is $10 \%$ eugenol) was used to anesthetize salmonids, when needed. All sedation records were submitted to the USFWS Aquatic Animal Drug Approval Partnership (AADAP) Program as part of an ongoing Investigational New Animal Drug (INAD) permitted study. This will establish the effectiveness and safety of AQUI-S ${ }^{\circledR} 20 \mathrm{E}$ as an anesthetic/sedative for various fish species under a variety of environmental conditions. The AADAP suggested guidelines for preparing and using AQUI-S ${ }^{\circledR} 20 E$ as a sedative were followed. Pumps and mechanical aerators were used to maintain suitable dissolved oxygen concentrations in all fish holding receptacles during processing.

During each trap check, up to 50 Chinook salmon and up to 20 fish of other species from each trap were weighed and measured, additional fish of each species were plus counted. Fish were weighed to the nearest 0.1 gram using an Ohaus ${ }^{\circledR}$ Scout portable scale. Fork lengths (FL) and total lengths (TL) of each fish were measured to the nearest millimeter (mm). Life stage and any observations of marks, injuries, or anomalies were also recorded. Processed fish were allowed to recover before being transported to the release site by truck or boat. The fish were transported in 19 liter (5 gallon) buckets equipped with battery operated aerators and released approximately 0.4 rkm ( 0.25 miles) downstream of the capture sites.

Fulton's Condition Factor (Bagenal and Tesh 1978) was calculated for up to 50 Chinook salmon caught each trapping day:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\left(\frac{W}{F L^{3}}\right) * 100,000, \text { where } \\
& K=\text { Fulton's Condition Factor, } \\
& W=\text { weight in grams, } \\
& F L=\text { fork length in } \mathrm{mm} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Juvenile Chinook Salmon Survival

Chinook salmon egg to young-of-the-year (YOY) survival indices were calculated at the downstream trapping location based on the brood year (BY) 2017 redd count and BY 2017 average fecundity per female at the MOKH. The annual redd count was multiplied by the average fecundity per female to estimate the total production of YOY salmon at $100 \%$ survival. The Chinook salmon passage estimate at the downstream trapping location was divided by the total production estimate (at 100\% survival) to calculate the survival index. Survival indices for BY 2017 were compared with previous years. The minimum and maximum survival indices were expected to range between 0.0 and 1.0 , respectively.

## Migration response

Generalized linear models were constructed to examine the relationship between daily salmon count (expressed as percent of annual count) and daily average flow, change in daily average flow, water temperature, and turbidity. A correlation matrix was built to determine if variables had a high level of collinearity with each other. Independent variables that correlated with one another at 0.50 or greater were not used together in the same models. The minimum corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) was used to select the best models. The top models at each trapping location were reported.

Spearman's rho correlation was used to examine the relationships between weekly salmon abundance and weekly salmon redd emergence at the upstream and downstream trapping locations. A weekly redd emergence timeline was constructed based on weekly salmonid redd surveys and a water temperature egg model developed by Vogel (1993) from Piper et al. (1992). Seven extra days were added to the date of predicted emergence at the downstream trap to account for travel time from the Chinook salmon spawning habitat to the downstream trap. No timing offset was used at the upstream trap because it is located just downstream of the majority of Chinook salmon spawning habitat (Setka 2004).

## Data Analysis

Graphics were created and data were analyzed using ArcMAP ${ }^{\text {TM }} 10.2$ (ESRI Inc.), JMP ${ }^{\circledR}$ 9.0.0 (SAS Institute Inc.), Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Office Access 2010 and Excel 2010. Statistical tests were considered significant if the $P$-value was $\leq 0.05$. Trap abundance estimates were reported with $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI).

## RESULTS

## Mokelumne River Flow, Water Temperature, and Turbidity

Average daily flow at the Victor Road gauging station (downstream of the VINO trapping site) ranged from $231 \mathrm{cfs}\left(6.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ to $2,481 \mathrm{cfs}\left(70.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ during the time when the VINO trap was operated (12 December 2017 through 29 June 2018). The mean flow during that time was $533 \mathrm{cfs}\left(15.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. Water temperatures recorded at VINO ranged from 9.5 to $14.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a mean of $11.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water turbidity ranged from 1.4 to
14.5 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU), with a mean of 2.9 NTU. Water dissolved oxygen ranged from 8.51 to 11.73 milligrams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ), with a mean of $10.38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.

Average daily flow at the Golf gauging station ranged from $109 \mathrm{cfs}\left(3.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ to 2,360 cfs ( $66.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) during the time when the GOLF RST was operated (3 January through 29 June 2017). The average daily flow during that time was $487 \mathrm{cfs}\left(13.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. Water temperatures recorded at GOLF ranged from 9.2 to $18.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a mean of $13.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water turbidity ranged from 2.0 to 81.6 NTU, with a mean of 4.3 NTU. Water dissolved oxygen ranged from 8.45 to $11.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, with a mean of $10.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.

During the time that the BYPASS trap was operated (3 April through 29 June 2018) average daily flow at the Golf gauging station ranged from $204 \mathrm{cfs}\left(5.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ to $2,360 \mathrm{cfs}$ $\left(66.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$, with a mean of $679 \mathrm{cfs}\left(19.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. Flow at the Woodbridge Irrigation District Canal ranged from $37 \mathrm{cfs}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ to $190 \mathrm{cfs}\left(5.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ and averaged 120 cfs $\left(3.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$. Water temperatures recorded at the BYPASS ranged from 12.5 to $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a mean of $15.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water turbidity at the BYPASS ranged from 2.2 to 6.6 NTU , with a mean of 3.4 NTU. Water dissolved oxygen ranged from 8.67 to $11.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, with a mean of $10.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$. Figure 2 depicts average daily flow, water temperature and turbidity at locations between Camanche Dam and the GOLF gauging station in the lower Mokelumne River.

## Trap Operations

The VINO RST was operated between 12 December 2017 and 29 June 2018. The cone was stopped by debris on 8 of 104 trap check days. Excluding days with trap stoppages, the minimum recorded cone rotation rate was 1.4 RPM and the maximum was 5.1 RPM. The mean rotation rate during the monitoring season was 3.0 RPM. The VINO trap met or exceeded a rotation speed of 2.0 RPMs on 123 of 125 (98.4\%) operating days (excluding stoppage days). Water velocity entering the center of the trap cone ranged between 0.8 and $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, with a mean of $1.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

The 8 -ft RST at GOLF was operated from 3 January to 28 June 2018. Debris stopped the cone from rotating on 7 of 92 trap check days. Excluding trap stoppages, the minimum cone rotation rate was 1.3 RPM and the maximum rate was 5.1 RPM. Average rotational speed was 2.5 RPM. The 8 -ft RST met or exceeded a rotation speed of 2.0 RPMs on 95 of 113 ( $84.1 \%$ ) operating days (excluding stoppage days). Water velocity entering the center of the trap cone ranged between 0.5 and $1.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, with a mean of $0.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

The BYPASS trap at WIDD was operated between 3 April and 29 June 2018. During this time frame the trap was checked on 51 days. Water velocity at the top of the trap ranged between 0.5 and $1.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and averaged $0.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

## RST Calibrations

Fourteen calibration tests were conducted for the VINO RST during the 2017/18 juvenile monitoring season (Table 1). Naturally produced Chinook salmon were used as test fish for four tests and Chinook salmon from the MOKH were used for ten tests. Trap efficiency tests 8 and 9 were not used to generate daily abundance estimates owing to
trap stoppages and test 14 was not used due to insufficient recaptures to produce reliable 95\% confidence intervals. Excluding tests 8, 9, and 14, trap efficiencies ranged from $1.1 \%$ to $18.2 \%$ and averaged $6.9 \%(n=11)$.

Twelve calibration tests were conducted for the GOLF RST during the 2017/18 juvenile monitoring season (Table 1). Chinook salmon from the MOKH were used for all twelve tests. Trap efficiency tests 10 and 12 were not used to generate abundance estimates because of trap stoppages on the first day of potential recaptures during the estimation period. Excluding tests 10 and 12, trap efficiency ranged from $1.0 \%$ to $14.2 \%$, with a mean of $6.5 \%(\mathrm{n}=10)$.

## Chinook Salmon

## Catch and Abundance Estimates

At the VINO RST, 19,548 naturally produced YOY Chinook salmon were captured between 12 December 2017 and 29 June 2018. Estimates for weekend catch and trap stoppages were added to the actual catch to produce an estimated count of 38,778 YOY Chinook salmon. Using trap efficiency data, the total estimated abundance of YOY salmon passing the upstream RST (VINO) was 456,372 (95\% CI: 380,500-532,243). The highest period of estimated salmon abundance was recorded from 23 January to 30 January 2018 (Table 2).

At the GOLF RST, 785 naturally produced YOY Chinook salmon were captured between 3 January and 28 June 2018. Estimates for weekend catch and trap stoppages were added to the actual catch to produce an estimated count of 1,508 YOY Chinook salmon. Using trap efficiency data, the total downstream emigration abundance estimate of YOY Chinook salmon at the GOLF RST was 32,851 (95\% CI: 26,978-38,725).

At the BYPASS trap, 3,835 naturally produced YOY Chinook salmon were captured between 4 April and 29 July 2018. Estimates for weekend catch were added to the actual catch to produce an estimated count of 7,266 YOY Chinook salmon. The total downstream emigration abundance estimate of 40,117 YOY Chinook salmon (95\% CI: $34,244-45,991$ ) was calculated by adding the BYPASS catch estimate to the GOLF RST abundance estimate. At the downstream traps (GOLF \& BYPASS), the highest period of estimated salmon abundance was recorded from 8 May to 11 June (Table 2).

On 18 May 2018, the MOKH conducted two in-river releases of 200,000 marked (adipose fin-clipped) and tagged juvenile Chinook salmon. One release occurred directly adjacent to the MOKH, and the other release took place in the WID basin. Trap catch from these releases consisted of 451 hatchery-origin (adipose fin-clipped) Chinook salmon at the Vino RST (between 22 May and 29 June 2018), 543 adipose fin-clipped salmon at the GOLF RST (between 22 May and 28 June 2018), and 14,456 adipose finclipped salmon at the BYPASS trap (between 22 May and 29 June 2018). These captures were not part of the abundance estimates.

## Life stage, size and condition

At the VINO RST, naturally produced Chinook salmon catch was classified as smolts ( $0.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=135$ ), fry ( $99.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=19,308$ ), parr ( $0.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=22$ ), and silvery parr ( $0.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=23$ ). In addition, 451 hatchery origin (adipose fin-clipped) Chinook salmon smolt were caught at the VINO RST. The size distribution by life stage of naturally produced Chinook salmon caught and measured at the VINO RST during the 2017/18 season is provided by Figure 3. Based on estimated Chinook salmon abundance, $94.4 \%(n=430,608)$ of the population moved past the upstream trap as fry and $5.6 \%$ ( $n=25,764$ ) moved past the upstream trap as smolts.

At the downstream traps, naturally produced Chinook salmon catch was classified as smolts ( $89.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=4,043$ ), fry ( $9.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=448$ ), parr ( $0.2 \%, \mathrm{n}=9$ ), and silvery parr ( $0.5 \%$, $\mathrm{n}=23$ ). The size distribution by life stage of naturally produced Chinook salmon caught and measured at the downstream traps during the 2017/18 season is provided by Figure 3. Based on estimated Chinook salmon abundance, $26.3 \%(n=10,569)$ of the population moved past the downstream traps as fry and $73.7 \%(n=29,548)$ as smolts.

The monthly average condition factors by life stage for naturally produced Chinook salmon caught and measured at the upstream and downstream traps are presented in Figure 4. Monthly average condition factors were only reported if the number of observations by life stage was three or greater ( $n>=3$ ). Hatchery smolts had an average condition factor of 1.11 ( $\pm 0.09 \mathrm{SD}$ ), whereas natural smolts had an average condition factor of 1.09 ( $\pm 0.27 \mathrm{SD}$ ).

## Migration Response

The relationships between three environmental variables (average daily flow, water temperature and turbidity) and estimated daily salmon abundance or catch at the upstream and downstream traps during the 2017/18 monitoring season are presented graphically in Figures 5 and 6.

At the upstream trap, daily water turbidity and average daily flow (Victor, rkm 86.1) were the variables included in the generalized linear model (GLM) with the lowest AICc (Table 3). Flow and Turbidity had a significant negative relationship with daily salmon catch (parameter estimate $=-0.0052$ and -0.4565 , respectively). Weekly salmon redd emergence and weekly salmon abundance had a significant positive correlation at the upstream trap ( $r=0.76, \mathrm{r}<0.0001$ ).

At the downstream traps, daily water turbidity was the variable included in the generalized linear model (GLM) with the lowest AICc (Table 3). None of the environmental variables analyzed had significant relationships with salmon abundance or catch. Weekly redd emergence and weekly salmon abundance had a significant negative correlation at the downstream traps ( $r=-0.46, \mathrm{r}=0.0111$ ).

## Egg-to-young-of-the-year survival indices

During the BY 2017 spawning season, 1,255 Chinook salmon redds were identified in the LMR. The BY 2017 average salmon fecundity estimate (per female spawned at the MOKH) was 4,162 eggs. The resulting estimated salmon production at $100 \%$ survival
was 5,222,701 juveniles. The BY 2017 egg-to-YOY survival index for naturally produced YOY Chinook salmon at the VINO trap was 0.09 ( $95 \%$ CI: 0.07-0.10). At the downstream GOLF trap, the BY 2017 survival index was 0.01 ( $95 \%$ CI: 0.01-0.01). The BY 2017 upstream and downstream survival indices were lower than other brood years that experienced below normal water year types (Table 4).

## Steelhead

## Catch and Abundance Estimates

At the VINO RST, 239 wild YOY steelhead were captured between 23 January and 20 June 2018. Estimates for weekend catch were added to actual catch to produce an estimated count of 548 naturally produced steelhead. The estimated abundance of wild YOY steelhead (based on trap calibrations using Chinook salmon) was 9,775 (95\% CI: 6,886-12,663). The largest monthly catch of wild steelhead (136) occurred at VINO in March.

At the GOLF RST, 33 wild YOY steelhead were captured between 13 March and 14 June 2018. Estimates for weekend catch and trap stoppages were added to actual catch to produce an estimated count of 60 naturally produced YOY steelhead. The estimated passage of wild YOY steelhead at GOLF (based on trap calibrations using Chinook salmon) was 2,387 ( $95 \%$ CI: 1,427-3,347). At the BYPASS, 62 YOY steelhead were captured between 5 April and 29 June 2018. Estimates for weekend catch were added to actual catch to produce an estimated count of 130 naturally produced YOY steelhead. The estimated abundance of wild YOY steelhead at the downstream traps, calculated from adding the BYPASS trap catch to the GOLF RST estimate, was 2,517 (95\% CI: 1,557-3,477). The largest monthly catch of wild YOY steelhead (48) occurred at the downstream traps in June.

## Life stage and size

At the VINO RST, 91.1\% ( $\mathrm{n}=245$ ) of the naturally produced steelhead catch was classified as fry. The remaining wild steelhead catch was composed of parr ( $6.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=18$ ) and age $1+$ parr $(2.2 \%, n=6)$. No age $1+$ smolts or adults were caught. Steelhead catch at the VINO RST also consisted of one hatchery-origin (adipose fin-clipped) smolt.

At the downstream traps, $77.7 \%(\mathrm{n}=87)$ of the wild steelhead catch was classified as parr. The remaining wild steelhead catch was composed of fry $(7.1 \%, \mathrm{n}=8)$ and age $1+$ parr ( $8.9 \%$, $n=10$ ), smolts ( $5.4 \%, \mathrm{n}=6$ ), and age $1+$ adults ( $0.9 \%, \mathrm{n}=1$ ).

The size distribution by life stage of all wild steelhead measured at the upstream and downstream traps is presented in Figure 7.

## Species Composition

Twenty fish species were caught at the VINO RST during the survey period: eight native and twelve non-native species (Appendix C). Native fish species were more abundant than non-native species, comprising $99.3 \%$ of the total catch. Chinook salmon (no adipose fin-clip) was the most abundant species caught (92.4\%), followed by hatchery-
origin Chinook salmon (adipose fin-clip) (2.1\%), steelhead (1.5\%), and Pacific lamprey (Entosphenus tridentatus) (1.4\%).

At the downstream traps (GOLF and BYPASS), thirty fish species were caught: nine native and twenty-one non-native species (Appendix D). Native fish species were more abundant than non-native species, comprising $96.2 \%$ of the total catch. This was driven by high catch of hatchery-origin Chinook salmon (adipose fin-clip) (47.8\%), followed by prickly sculpin (Cottus asper) (38.2\%), Chinook salmon (no adipose fin-clip) (12.9\%), and Pacific lamprey (2.9\%).

## DISCUSSION

During the 2017/2018 juvenile outmigration monitoring season, the VINO RST was stopped by debris on eight of 104 operating days. Salmon catch was estimated at VINO on two of the eight days (4 and 5 April 2018) with trap stoppages, which occurred after the peak of juvenile salmon outmigration at the upstream trap. The GOLF RST was stopped by debris seven times throughout the monitoring season. Salmon catch was estimated at GOLF on two of the seven days (11 May and 5 June 2018) with trap stoppages, and occurred when moderate numbers of juvenile salmon were moving past the trap after the peak of outmigration. Catch was not estimated on stoppage days when rotation counts demonstrated that the trap functioned during most of the trapping period. These days may have led to a small, but not significant, underestimate of salmon abundance at the GOLF trap.

At the VINO RST, MOKH salmon fry were used as test fish for the first two trap efficiency trials and naturally produced salmon fry were used for four trap efficiency trials that took place early in the monitoring season. Conversely, near the middle and the end of the season (April through June), trap efficiency trials were conducted using larger parr and smolt-sized salmon from the MOKH. The results of the efficiency trials were consistent with previous seasons. When the trap was operated at relatively stable low flows, trap efficiencies steadily declined as salmon fork length increased. The decreased capture efficiency was likely due to the improved swimming ability of larger salmon. Also, trap efficiencies decreased during peirods of increased flows, and efficiencies increased as flows receeded. Three tests ( 8,9 , and 14 ) were not used due to trap stoppages or an inadequate number of recaptures that were needed to generate reliable $95 \%$ confidence intervals. In future monitoring seasons, it is recommended to increase the size of trap efficiency release groups towards the end of the season, when smolt-sized salmon are used as the test fish.

At the GOLF RST, all twelve trap efficiency trials were run using groups of salmon from the MOKH. Ten of the twelve tests were considered successful this season and used to create population estimates. Tests 10 and 12 were not successful due to trap stoppages. Trap efficiencies appeared high (between 2.3\% and 18.2\%) until Camanche releases increased above 400 cfs and parr/smolt-sized salman were used. All successful efficiency trials were run when Camanche releases ranged from 238 to 2,306 cfs.

The upstream juvenile salmon abundance estimate of 456,372 Chinook salmon, ranked the 6th highest (of 10) on record since the trap site was initiated in the 2007/08 juvenile outmigration season. The downstream juvenile salmon abundance estimate of 40,117 ranked the $4^{\text {th }}$ lowest (of 26) on record since the 1992/93 season. The annual juvenile salmon abundance estimate for the downstream trap was below average, relative to previous estimates. Compared to previous below normal water year types, the BY 2017 downstream survival index was also below average. Factors that may have contributed to the mortality of juvenile Chinook salmon in the LMR include predation by native and non-native fish species, egg losses that take place during egg deposition (Schroder et al. 2008), mortality associated with the physical and chemical habitat parameters associated at the spawning site (Merz et al. 2004), warm incubation water temperatures averaging $14.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during periods when the progression of embryonic development occurred, limited rearing habitat, and small unscreened surface water diversions in the LMR. Moyle and Israel (2005) indicated that small diversions may have a cumulative impact on fish populations, but the impacts of individual diversions may be highly variable depending on their size and location.

At the upstream trap, daily water turbidity and average daily flow at Victor were the variables included in the GLM with the lowest AICc. Flow and turbidity had a significant negative relationship with daily salmon abundance, establishing that most of the salmon passed the upstream trap when flows and turbidity were low. Camanche releases were relatively low when $94.3 \%$ of the estimated emigrating salmon moved past the ustream trap as fry. Large Camanche releases up to 2,500 cfs coinsided with the parr/smolt emigration period, after the majority of salmon had already moved past the upstream trap. In previous seasons, a significant positive relationship between weekly redd emergence and Chinook salmon abundance has also been established (Bilski et al. 2013), indicating that the majority of juvenile salmon pass the upstream trap as fry and rear below Elliot Road. This season was no different, weekly redd emergence and weekly salmon abundance had a significant positive correlation at the upstream trap.

At the downstream traps, none of the environmental factors analyzed had significant relationships with daily salmon catch or salmon abundance. In addition, weekly redd emergence and weekly salmon abundance had a moderate negative correlation at the downstream traps. This could suggest that a large proportion of the juvenile Chinook salmon spent time rearing upstream of the GOLF RST and/or the BYPASS and emigrated past the downstream traps as smolts (73.7\% estimated abundance) or did not survive.
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Table 1. Summary of trap efficiency tests conducted at trapping locations on the lower Mokelumne River during the 2017/2018 trapping season. Abbreviations are as follows: MOKH = Mokelumne River Fish Hatchery.
VINO (UPSTREAM RST)

| Test \# | Release Date | Origin of Test Salmon | Flow (cfs) | Ave. FL of Test Salmon (mm) | \# Released | \# Recaptured | \% <br> Recaptured | Used for abundance estimate? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 08-Jan-18 | MOKH | 263 | 31 | 1,011 | 81 | 8.0\% | Yes |
| 2 | 22-Jan-18 | MOKH | 377 | 38 | 778 | 18 | 2.3\% | Yes |
| 3 | 30-Jan-18 | WILD | 372 | 33 | 297 | 54 | 18.2\% | Yes |
| 4 | 06-Feb-18 | WILD | 364 | 35 | 550 | 62 | 11.3\% | Yes |
| 5 | 21-Feb-18 | WILD | 296 | 35 | 612 | 88 | 14.4\% | Yes |
| 6 | 06-Mar-18 | WILD | 317 | 35 | 346 | 39 | 11.3\% | Yes |
| 7 | 20-Mar-18 | MOKH | 314 | 39 | 503 | 15 | 3.0\% | Yes |
| 8 | 03-Apr-18 | MOKH | 852 | 42 | 500 | 1 | 0.2\% | No |
| 9 | 09-Apr-18 | MOKH | 2,383 | 45 | 503 | 3 | 0.6\% | No |
| 10 | 16-Apr-18 | MOKH | 514 | 48 | 510 | 11 | 2.2\% | Yes |
| 11 | 30-Apr-18 | MOKH | 495 | 60 | 1,005 | 17 | 1.7\% | Yes |
| 12 | 14-May-18 | MOKH | 1,161 | 61 | 1,013 | 29 | 2.9\% | Yes |
| 13 | 29-May-18 | MOKH | 574 | 77 | 1,017 | 11 | 1.1\% | Yes |
| 14 | 18-Jun-18 | MOKH | 396 | 88 | 885 | 3 | 0.3\% | No |
| GOLF (DOWNSTREAM RST) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test \# | Release Date | Origin of test <br> salmon | Flow (cfs) | Ave. FL of test salmon (mm) | \# Released | \# Recaptured | \% Recaptured | Used for abundance estimate? |
| 1 | 16-Jan-18 | MOKH | 321 | 36 | 1,009 | 99 | 9.8\% | Yes |
| 2 | 29-Jan-18 | MOKH | 307 | 35 | 1,013 | 144 | 14.2\% | Yes |
| 3 | 13-Feb-18 | MOKH | 306 | 35 | 1,032 | 88 | 8.5\% | Yes |
| 4 | 26-Feb-18 | MOKH | 308 | 35 | 995 | 61 | 6.1\% | Yes |
| 5 | 12-Mar-18 | MOKH | 238 | 37 | 1,026 | 62 | 6.0\% | Yes |
| 6 | 26-Mar-18 | MOKH | 237 | 41 | 1,019 | 114 | 11.2\% | Yes |
| 7 | 09-Apr-18 | MOKH | 2,306 | 46 | 502 | 5 | 1.0\% | Yes |
| 8 | 23-Apr-18 | MOKH | 434 | 51 | 1,002 | 45 | 4.5\% | Yes |
| 9 | 07-May-18 | MOKH | 1,048 | 58 | 1,012 | 25 | 2.5\% | Yes |
| 10 | 04-Jun-18 | MOKH | 332 | 80 | 1,017 | 0 | 0.0\% | No |
| 11 | 11-Jun-18 | MOKH | 243 | 78 | 1,500 | 21 | 1.4\% | Yes |
| 12 | 25-Jun-18 | MOKH | 212 | 90 | 1,163 | 2 | 0.2\% | No |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Expanded monthly catch, juvenile abundance estimates, and percent passage for Chinook salmon captured at the upstream and downstream trapping locations on the lower Mokelumne River during the 2017/2018 trapping season. 95\% confidence intervals (LCI and $\mathrm{UCI})$ are calculated for the annual abundance estimates.

| Upstream (VINO FARMS) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Frame | Estimated Catch | Abundance | Percent Passage |
| 12/12-1/22 | 3,076 | 37,962 | 8.3\% |
| 1/23-1/30 | 3,685 | 151,085 | 33.1\% |
| 1/31-2/6 | 5,807 | 31,463 | 6.9\% |
| 2/7-2/21 | 17,064 | 149,242 | 32.7\% |
| 2/22-3/6 | 7,222 | 49,743 | 10.9\% |
| 3/7-3/20 | 1,281 | 11,113 | 2.4\% |
| 3/21-4/16 | 375 | 11,825 | 2.6\% |
| 4/17-4/30 | 47 | 2,001 | 0.4\% |
| 5/1-5/14 | 59 | 3,297 | 0.7\% |
| 5/15-5/29 | 100 | 3,380 | 0.7\% |
| 5/30-6/29 | 62 | 5,260 | 1.2\% |
|  | 38,778 | 456,372 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | CI (380,500-532,243) |  |
| Downstream (GOLF and BYPASS) |  |  |  |
| Time Frame | Estimated Catch | Abundance | Percent Passage |
| 1/3-1/29 | 18 | 182 | 0.5\% |
| 1/30-2/13 | 201 | 1,406 | 3.5\% |
| 2/14-2/26 | 204 | 2,363 | 5.9\% |
| 2/27-3/12 | 125 | 2,008 | 5.0\% |
| 3/13-3/26 | 203 | 3,309 | 8.2\% |
| 3/27-4/9 | 152 | 1,301 | 3.2\% |
| 4/10-4/23 | 64 | 4,206 | 10.5\% |
| 4/24-5/7 | 456 | 5,761 | 14.4\% |
| 5/8-6/11 | 6307 | 17,126 | 42.7\% |
| 6/12-6/29 | 1044 | 2,456 | 6.1\% |
|  | 8,774 | 40,117 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% CI (34,244-45,991) |  |

Table 3. Generalized linear models for juvenile Chinook salmon abundance at the upstream and downstream trapping locations based on environmental variables on the lower Mokelumne River during the 2017/18 juvenile outmigration monitoring season.

## Upstream (VINO FARMS)

| Model 1 |  |  | Independent Variable(s) | df | $\chi^{2}$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AICc | df | $P$ |  |  |  |  |
| 59.9754 | 1, 129 | 0.0235 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Flow (Victor) | 1 | 13.34881 | 0.0003 |
|  |  |  | Turbidity | 1 | 3.388667 | 0.0656 |
| Model 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AICc | df | $P$ | Independent Variable(s) | df | $\chi^{2}$ | $P$ |
| 64.6043 | 1, 130 | 0.0042 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Turbidity | 1 | 8.201401 | 0.0042 |
| Downstream (GOLF and BYPASS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AICC | df | $P$ | Independent Variable(s) | df | $\chi^{2}$ | $P$ |
| 157.27 | 1, 114 | 0.4282 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Turbidity | 1 | 0.06276 | 0.4282 |

Table 4. A summary of annual upstream and downstream juvenile Chinook salmon survival indices (egg to young-of-the-year) on the lower Mokelumne River. Indices were calculated by dividing the annual upstream and downstream juvenile passage estimates by the estimated number of Chinook salmon naturally produced on the LMR for a given brood year (BY). The total estimated natural production for each BY was calculated by multiplying the annual Chinook salmon redd count by the average annual fecundity estimate for a female Chinook salmon spawned at the Mokelumne River Fish Hatchery (MOKH). JSA = 1998 Mokelumne River Joint Settle Agreement.

| BY | Trap(s) used | Chinook salmon redd count | Estimated production (at 100\% survival) | Abundance Estimate | 95\% LCI | 95\% UCI | Survival index (LCI $-\mathrm{UCl})$ | JSA water year type (Apr.-Sept.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upstream (rkm 87.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | Vino Farms | 248 | 1,208,444 | 124,279 | 93,555 | 199,950 | 0.10 (0.08-0.17) | Below Normal |
| 2010 | Vino Farms | 314 | 1,601,627 | 842,570 | 631,115 | 2,039,099 | 0.53 (0.39-1.27) | Normal \& Above |
| 2011 | Vino Farms | 564 | 2,983,439 | 202,772 | 152,937 | 312,856 | 0.07 (0.05-0.10) | Dry |
| 2012 | Vino Farms | 1,287 | 6,509,206 | 1,203,754 | 958,664 | 1,724,580 | 0.18 (0.15-0.26) | Dry |
| 2013 | Vino Farms | 1,823 | 10,098,958 | 595,070 | 437,148 | 955,327 | 0.06 (0.04-0.09) | Dry |
| 2014 | Vino Farms | 911 | 4,520,382 | 431,677 | 338,021 | 769,057 | 0.10 (0.07-0.17) | Critically Dry |
| 2015 | Vino Farms | 1,359 | 5,760,801 | 856,127 | 689,152 | 1,201,359 | 0.15 (0.11-0.21) | Below Normal |
| 2016 | Vino Farms | 405 | 1,859,301 | No Estimate |  |  |  | Normal \& Above |
| 2017 | Vino Farms | 1,255 | 5,222,701 | 456,372 | 380,500 | 532,243 | 0.09 (0.07-0.10) | Below Normal |
| Downstream (rkm 62) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Golf | 2170 | 10,348,580 | 432,874 | 274,012 | 1,527,356 | 0.04 (0.03-.15) | Normal \& Above |
| 2006 | Golf | 755 | 3,387,149 | 1,197,778 | 883,225 | 2,174,338 | 0.35 (0.26-0.64) | Normal \& Above |
| 2007 | Golf \& Bypass | 306 | 1,609,575 | 39,938 | 35,395 | 62,117 | 0.02 (0.02-0.04) | Normal \& Above |
| 2008 | Golf | 63 | 338,899 | 18,347 | 14,513 | 25,152 | 0.05 (0.04-0.07) | Below Normal |
| 2009 | Golf \& Bypass | 248 | 1,208,444 | 67,349 | 39,512 | 283,914 | 0.06 (0.03-0.23) | Below Normal |
| 2010 | Golf \& Bypass | 314 | 1,601,627 | 281,500 | 186,249 | 606,084 | 0.18 (0.12-0.38) | Normal \& Above |
| 2011 | Golf \& Bypass | 564 | 2,983,439 | 51,799 | 42,063 | 70,631 | 0.02 (0.01-0.02) | Dry |
| 2012 | Golf \& Bypass | 1,287 | 6,509,206 | 147,590 | 130,342 | 176,579 | 0.02 (0.02-0.03) | Dry |
| 2013 | Golf \& Bypass | 1,823 | 10,098,958 | 169,864 | 134,673 | 287,964 | 0.02 (0.01-0.03) | Dry |
| 2014 | Golf \& Bypass | 911 | 4,520,382 | 61,305 | 57,120 | 74,069 | 0.01 (0.01-0.02) | Critically Dry |
| 2015 | Golf \& Bypass | 1,359 | 5,760,801 | 134,593 | 112,836 | 183,706 | 0.02 (0.02-0.03) | Below Normal |
| 2016 | Golf | 405 | 1,859,301 | 326,455 | 217,992 | 434,918 | 0.18 (0.12-0.23) | Normal \& Above |
| 2017 | Golf \& Bypass | 1,255 | 5,222,701 | 40,117 | 34,244 | 45,991 | 0.01 (0.01-0.01) | Below Normal |



Figure 1. Trapping sites used for juvenile emigration monitoring on the lower Mokelumne River during the $2017 / 18$ season.




Figure 2. Average daily flow, water temperature, and turbidity on the lower Mokelumne River between Camanche Dam (rkm 103) and Golf (rkm 61.3) during the 2017/18 juvenile emigration monitoring season.


Figure 3. Size distribution by life stage of measured wild juvenile Chinook salmon at the upstream (VINO FARMS) and downstream (GOLF) trapping locations during the 2017/18 juvenile emigration season on the lower Mokelumne River.


Figure 4. Monthly average condition factor $\pm 2$ SE (vertical lines) of measured wild juvenile Chinook salmon at the upstream (VINO FARMS) and downstream (GOLF \& BYPASS) trapping locations during the 2017/18 juvenile emigration monitoring season on the lower Mokelumne River.


Figure 5. The relationships between estimated daily Chinook salmon abundance and flow (top), water temperature (middle), and turbidity (bottom) at the VINO RST (upstream trapping location) during the 2017/18 juvenile emigration monitoring season.


Figure 6. The relationships between estimated daily Chinook salmon abundance and flow (top), water temperature (middle), and turbidity (bottom) at the downstream trapping location (GOLF) during the 2017/18 juvenile emigration monitoring season.


Figure 7. Size and life stage distribution of measured wild steelhead at the downstream upstream (Vino) and Downstream (Golf and Bypass) trapping locations during the 2017/18 juvenile emigration monitoring season on the lower Mokelumne River. One age 1+ adult was also captured at the Golf location, but not depicted.

Appendix A. Daily trap catch, period trap efficiency, abundance estimates, and 95\% confidence intervals (CI) of juvenile Chinook salmon at the upstream trap (VINO) on the lower Mokelumne River during the $2017 / 18$ monitoring period. Shaded areas represent non-trapping days with trap stoppages where catch was estimated.

| Date | GOLF catch (u) | Marked (M) | Recaptured (m) | Upstream abundance estimate (U) | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/12/2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/13/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/14/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/15/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/16/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/17/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/18/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/19/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/20/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/21/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/22/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/23/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/24/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/25/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/26/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/27/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/28/2017 | 0 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/29/2017 | 1 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/30/2017 | 24 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 12/31/2017 | 24 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/1/2018 | 24 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/2/2018 | 24 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/3/2018 | 26 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/4/2018 | 23 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/5/2018 | 96 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/6/2018 | 83 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/7/2018 | 83 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/8/2018 | 83 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/9/2018 | 110 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/10/2018 | 29 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/11/2018 | 211 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/12/2018 | 171 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/13/2018 | 159 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/14/2018 | 159 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/15/2018 | 159 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/16/2018 | 159 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/17/2018 | 197 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/18/2018 | 228 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/19/2018 | 118 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/20/2018 | 295 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/21/2018 | 295 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| 1/22/2018 | 295 | 1,011 | 81 |  |  |
| Period Summary | 3,076 | 1,011 | 81 | 37,962 |  |
| 1/23/2018 | 220 | 778 | 18 |  |  |
| 1/24/2018 | 527 | 778 | 18 |  |  |
| 1/25/2018 | 482 | 778 | 18 |  |  |


| Appendix A continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/26/2018 | 632 | 778 | 18 |  |
| 1/27/2018 | 485 | 778 | 18 |  |
| 1/28/2018 | 485 | 778 | 18 |  |
| 1/29/2018 | 485 | 778 | 18 |  |
| 1/30/2018 | 369 | 778 | 18 |  |
| Period Summary | 3,685 | 778 | 18 | 151,085 |
| 1/31/2018 | 448 | 297 | 54 |  |
| 2/1/2018 | 452 | 297 | 54 |  |
| 2/2/2018 | 1,142 | 297 | 54 |  |
| 2/3/2018 | 866 | 297 | 54 |  |
| 2/4/2018 | 866 | 297 | 54 |  |
| 2/5/2018 | 866 | 297 | 54 |  |
| 2/6/2018 | 1,167 | 297 | 54 |  |
| Period Summary | 5,807 | 297 | 54 | 31,463 |
| 2/7/2018 | 931 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/8/2018 | 1,053 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 219/2018 | 988 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/10/2018 | 1,144 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/11/2018 | 1,144 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/12/2018 | 1,144 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/13/2018 | 1,144 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/14/2018 | 1,215 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/15/2018 | 1,242 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/16/2018 | 1,433 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/17/2018 | 1,218 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/18/2018 | 1,218 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/19/2018 | 1,218 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/20/2018 | 1,218 | 550 | 62 |  |
| 2/21/2018 | 754 | 550 | 62 |  |
| Period Summary | 17,064 | 550 | 62 | 149,242 |
| 2/22/2018 | 1,336 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 2/23/2018 | 1,328 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 2/24/2018 | 752 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 2/25/2018 | 752 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 2/26/2018 | 752 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 2/27/2018 | 215 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 2/28/2018 | 859 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 3/1/2018 | 22 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 3/2/2018 | 73 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 3/3/2018 | 261 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 3/4/2018 | 261 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 3/5/2018 | 261 | 612 | 88 |  |
| 3/6/2018 | 350 | 612 | 88 |  |
| Period Summary | 7,222 | 612 | 88 | 49,743 |
| 3/7/2018 | 77 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/8/2018 | 187 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/9/2018 | 125 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/10/2018 | 112 | 346 | 39 |  |


| Appendix A continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/11/2018 | 112 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/12/2018 | 112 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/13/2018 | 43 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/14/2018 | 96 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/15/2018 | 146 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/16/2018 | 56 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/17/2018 | 61 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/18/2018 | 61 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/19/2018 | 61 | 346 | 39 |  |
| 3/20/2018 | 32 | 346 | 39 |  |
| Period Summary | 1,281 | 346 | 39 | 11,113 |
| 3/21/2018 | 29 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/22/2018 | 9 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/23/2018 | 57 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/24/2018 | 21 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/25/2018 | 21 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/26/2018 | 21 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/27/2018 | 5 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/28/2018 | 19 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/29/2018 | 9 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/30/2018 | 18 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 3/31/2018 | 18 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/1/2018 | 18 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/2/2018 | 18 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/3/2018 | 38 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/4/2018 | 16 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/5/2018 | 10 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/6/2018 | 1 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/7/2018 | 4 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/8/2018 | 4 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/9/2018 | 4 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/10/2018 | 5 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/11/2018 | 6 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/12/2018 | 3 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/13/2018 | 9 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/14/2018 | 4 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/15/2018 | 4 | 503 | 15 |  |
| 4/16/2018 | 4 | 503 | 15 |  |
| Period Summary | 375 | 503 | 15 | 11,825 |
| 4/17/2018 | 1 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/18/2018 | 2 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/19/2018 | 2 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/20/2018 | 7 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/21/2018 | 3 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/22/2018 | 3 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/23/2018 | 3 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/24/2018 | 3 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/25/2018 | 5 | 510 | 11 |  |


| Appendix A continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/26/2018 | 1 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/27/2018 | 2 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/28/2018 | 5 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/29/2018 | 5 | 510 | 11 |  |
| 4/30/2018 | 5 | 510 | 11 |  |
| Period Summary | 47 | 510 | 11 | 2,001 |
| 5/1/2018 | 6 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/2/2018 | 9 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/3/2018 | 4 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/4/2018 | 3 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/5/2018 | 4 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/6/2018 | 4 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/7/2018 | 4 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/8/2018 | 2 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/9/2018 | 3 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/10/2018 | 1 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/11/2018 | 4 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/12/2018 | 5 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/13/2018 | 5 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| 5/14/2018 | 5 | 1,005 | 17 |  |
| Period Summary | 59 | 1,005 | 17 | 3,297 |
| 5/15/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/16/2018 | 8 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/17/2018 | 4 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/18/2018 | 6 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/19/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/20/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/21/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/22/2018 | 6 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/23/2018 | 8 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/24/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/25/2018 | 5 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/26/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/27/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/28/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| 5/29/2018 | 7 | 1,013 | 29 |  |
| Period Summary | 100 | 1,013 | 29 | 3,380 |
| 5/30/2018 | 11 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 5/31/2018 | 7 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/1/2018 | 4 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/2/2018 | 4 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/3/2018 | 4 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/4/2018 | 4 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/5/2018 | 1 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/6/2018 | 1 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/7/2018 | 1 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/8/2018 | 3 | 1,017 | 11 |  |
| 6/9/2018 | 2 | 1,017 | 11 |  |



Appendix B. Daily trap catch, period trap efficiency, abundance estimates, and 95\% confidence intervals (CI) of juvenile Chinook salmon at the downstream traps (GOLF \& BYPASS) on the lower Mokelumne River during the 2017/18 monitoring period. Shaded areas represent nontrapping days with trap stoppages where catch was estimated.
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline \text { Date } & \text { GOLF catch (u) } & \text { Marked (M) } & \text { Recaptured (m) } \begin{array}{c}\text { Golf abundance } \\ \text { estimate (U) }\end{array} & \text { BYPASS catch } \\ \text { abundance estimate }\end{array}\right]$ SD

Appendix B continued

| 2/16/2018 | 31 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/17/2018 | 20 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/18/2018 | 20 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/19/2018 | 20 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/20/2018 | 20 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/21/2018 | 6 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/22/2018 | 7 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/23/2018 | 6 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/24/2018 | 6 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/25/2018 | 6 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/26/2018 | 6 | 1,032 | 88 |  | 0 |  |
| Period Summary | 204 | 1,032 | 88 | 2,363 |  | 2,363 |
| 2/27/2018 | 8 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 2/28/2018 | 7 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/1/2018 | 0 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/2/2018 | 5 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/3/2018 | 6 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/4/2018 | 6 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/5/2018 | 6 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/6/2018 | 4 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/7/2018 | 11 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/8/2018 | 6 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/9/2018 | 15 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/10/2018 | 17 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/11/2018 | 17 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/12/2018 | 17 | 995 | 61 |  | 0 |  |
| Period Summary | 125 | 995 | 61 | 2,008 |  | 2,008 |
| 3/13/2018 | 38 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/14/2018 | 22 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/15/2018 | 7 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/16/2018 | 15 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/17/2018 | 12 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/18/2018 | 12 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/19/2018 | 12 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/20/2018 | 9 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/21/2018 | 6 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/22/2018 | 11 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/23/2018 | 20 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/24/2018 | 13 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/25/2018 | 13 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/26/2018 | 13 | 1,026 | 62 |  | 0 |  |
| Period Summary | 203 | 1,026 | 62 | 3,309 |  | 3,309 |
| 3/27/2018 | 17 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/28/2018 | 15 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/29/2018 | 9 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/30/2018 | 10 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |
| 3/31/2018 | 10 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/1/2018 | 10 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |


| Appendix B continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/2/2018 | 10 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/3/2018 | 7 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/4/2018 | 4 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 1 |  |
| 4/5/2018 | 5 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 2 |  |
| 4/6/2018 | 28 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/7/2018 | 7 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 1 |  |
| 4/8/2018 | 7 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 1 |  |
| 4/9/2018 | 7 | 1,019 | 114 |  | 1 |  |
| Period Summary | 146 | 1,019 | 114 | 1,295 | 6 | 1,301 |
| 4/10/2018 | 5 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/11/2018 | 1 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/12/2018 | 1 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/13/2018 | 1 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/14/2018 | 2 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/15/2018 | 2 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/16/2018 | 2 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/17/2018 | 3 | 502 | 5 |  | 1 |  |
| 4/18/2018 | 3 | 502 | 5 |  | 1 |  |
| 4/19/2018 | 2 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/20/2018 | 1 | 502 | 5 |  | 0 |  |
| 4/21/2018 | 9 | 502 | 5 |  | 4 |  |
| 4/22/2018 | 9 | 502 | 5 |  | 4 |  |
| 4/23/2018 | 9 | 502 | 5 |  | 4 |  |
| Period Summary | 50 | 502 | 5 | 4,192 | 14 | 4,206 |
| 4/24/2018 | 8 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 3 |  |
| 4/25/2018 | 12 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 4 |  |
| 4/26/2018 | 27 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 14 |  |
| 4/27/2018 | 24 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 21 |  |
| 4/28/2018 | 22 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 15 |  |
| 4/29/2018 | 22 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 15 |  |
| 4/30/2018 | 22 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 15 |  |
| 5/1/2018 | 36 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 18 |  |
| 5/2/2018 | 20 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 15 |  |
| 5/3/2018 | 10 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 17 |  |
| 5/4/2018 | 16 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 16 |  |
| 5/5/2018 | 12 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 16 |  |
| 5/6/2018 | 12 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 16 |  |
| 5/7/2018 | 12 | 1,002 | 45 |  | 16 |  |
| Period Summary | 255 | 1,002 | 45 | 5,560 | 201 | 5,761 |
| 5/8/2018 | 9 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 2 |  |
| 5/9/2018 | 7 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 20 |  |
| 5/10/2018 | 9 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 24 |  |
| 5/11/2018 | 10 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 31 |  |
| 5/12/2018 | 10 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 47 |  |
| 5/13/2018 | 10 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 47 |  |
| 5/14/2018 | 10 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 47 |  |
| 5/15/2018 | 8 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 57 |  |
| 5/16/2018 | 10 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 77 |  |


| Appendix B continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/17/2018 | 17 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 74 |  |  |
| 5/18/2018 | 13 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 205 |  |  |
| 5/19/2018 | 14 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 228 |  |  |
| 5/20/2018 | 14 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 228 |  |  |
| 5/21/2018 | 14 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 228 |  |  |
| 5/22/2018 | 10 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 80 |  |  |
| 5/23/2018 | 10 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 339 |  |  |
| 5/24/2018 | 21 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 591 |  |  |
| 5/25/2018 | 13 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 506 |  |  |
| 5/26/2018 | 9 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 356 |  |  |
| 5/27/2018 | 9 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 356 |  |  |
| 5/28/2018 | 9 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 356 |  |  |
| 5/29/2018 | 9 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 356 |  |  |
| 5/30/2018 | 2 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 67 |  |  |
| 5/31/2018 | 1 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 251 |  |  |
| 6/1/2018 | 4 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 380 |  |  |
| 6/2/2018 | 3 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 160 |  |  |
| 6/3/2018 | 3 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 160 |  |  |
| 6/4/2018 | 3 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 160 |  |  |
| 6/5/2018 | 3 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 98 |  |  |
| 6/6/2018 | 2 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 81 |  |  |
| 6/7/2018 | 3 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 82 |  |  |
| 6/8/2018 | 4 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 88 |  |  |
| 6/9/2018 | 4 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 80 |  |  |
| 6/10/2018 | 4 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 80 |  |  |
| 6/11/2018 | 4 | 1,012 | 25 |  | 80 |  |  |
| Period Summary | 285 | 1,012 | 25 | 11,104 | 6,022 | 17,126 |  |
| 6/12/2018 | 11 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 160 |  |  |
| 6/13/2018 | 3 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 38 |  |  |
| 6/14/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 33 |  |  |
| 6/15/2018 | 1 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 26 |  |  |
| 6/16/2018 | 1 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 64 |  |  |
| 6/17/2018 | 1 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 64 |  |  |
| 6/18/2018 | 1 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 64 |  |  |
| 6/19/2018 | 1 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 72 |  |  |
| 6/20/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 176 |  |  |
| 6/21/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 40 |  |  |
| 6/22/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 36 |  |  |
| 6/23/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 54 |  |  |
| 6/24/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 54 |  |  |
| 6/25/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 54 |  |  |
| 6/26/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 11 |  |  |
| 6/27/2018 | 2 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 37 |  |  |
| 6/28/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 21 |  |  |
| 6/29/2018 | 0 | 1,500 | 21 |  | 19 |  |  |
| Period Summary | 21 | 1,500 | 21 | 1,433 | 1,023 | 2,456 |  |
| Annual Totals | 1508 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 32,851 \\ 26,978- \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 40,117 \\ 44-45,991) \end{gathered}$ | 2,997 |

Appendix C. Monthly totals of species caught at the upstream RST (VINO) on the lower Mokelumne river during the 2017/18 juvenile outmigration monitoring season.

| Common Name | Genus | Species | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| black bass | Micropterus | spp. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| black crappie | Pomoxis | nigromaculatus | 15 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| blue gill | Lepomis | macrochirus | 0 | 0 | 125 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ |
| channel catfish | Ictalurus | punctatus | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Chinook salmon (ad-clip) | Oncorhyhchus | tshawytscha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181 | 270 | $\mathbf{4 5 1}$ |
| Chinook salmon (no ad-clip) | Oncorhyhchus | tshawytscha | 1 | 3,887 | 14,115 | 1,335 | 89 | 101 | 20 | $\mathbf{1 9 , 5 4 8}$ |
| common carp | Cyprinus | carpio | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| golden shiner | Notemigonus | crysoleucas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| goldfish | Carassius | auratus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| hitch | Lavinia | exilicauda | 3 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 4 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| Pacific lamprey | Lampetra | tridentata | 26 | 37 | 30 | 136 | 26 | 21 | 29 | $\mathbf{3 0 5}$ |
| prickly sculpin | Cottus | asper | 2 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 111 | 19 | 12 | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ |
| redear sunfish | Lepomis | microlophus | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Sacramento pikeminnow | Ptychocheilus | grandis | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Sacramento sucker | Catostomus | occidentalis | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| steelhead (no ad-clip) | Oncorhynchus | mykiss | 2 | 13 | 83 | 138 | 43 | 34 | 3 | $\mathbf{3 1 6}$ |
| threadfin shad | Dorosoma | petenense | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| tule perch | Hysterocarpus | traski | 2 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 1 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| warmouth | Lepomis | gulosus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| white catfish | catus | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 10 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |  |
| western mosquitofish | Gambusia | affinis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

Appendix D. Monthly totals of fish species caught at the downstream RST (GOLF) and Bypass trap on the lower Mokelumne river during the 2017/18 juvenile outmigration monitoring season.

| Common Name | Genus | Species | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| american shad | Alosa | sapidissima | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| bigscale logperch | Percina | macrolepida | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| black bass | Micropterus | spp. | 2 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 213 | 325 |
| black crappie | Pomoxis | nigromaculatus | 4 | 159 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
| bluegill | Lepomis | macrochirus | 1 | 447 | 110 | 34 | 27 | 39 | 658 |
| brown bullhead | Ameiurus | nebulosus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| channel catfish | Ictalurus | punctatus | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Chinook salmon (ad-clip) | Oncorhyhchus | tshawytscha | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,480 | 9,519 | 14,999 |
| Chinook salmon (no ad-clip) | Oncorhyhchus | tshawytscha | 15 | 190 | 210 | 174 | 2,602 | 1,429 | 4,620 |
| common carp | Cyprinus | carpio | 1 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| fathead minnow | Pimephales | promelas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| golden shiner | Notemigonus | crysoleucas | 3 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 37 |
| green sunfish | Lepomis | cyanellus | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| hitch | Lavinia | exilicauda | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| inland silverside | Menidia | beryllina | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| largemouth bass | Micropterus | salmoides | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| lepomis hybrid | Lepomis | spp. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Pacific lamprey | Entosphenus | tridentatus | 5 | 43 | 493 | 389 | 41 | 63 | 1,034 |
| prickly sculpin | Cottus | asper | 11 | 65 | 150 | 80 | 1,518 | 11,901 | 13,725 |
| redear sunfish | Lepomis | microlophus | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 28 |
| redeye bass | Micropterus | coosae | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Sacramento pikeminnow | Ptychocheilus | grandis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Sacramento sucker | Catostomus | occidentalis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| spotted bass | Micropterus | punctulatus | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| steelhead (no ad-clip) | Oncorhynchus | mykiss | 2 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 27 | 49 | 112 |
| striped Bass | Morone | saxatilis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| threadfin shad | Dorosoma | petenense | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| tule perch | Hysterocarpus | traski | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 27 | 42 |
| warmouth | Lepomis | gulosus | 0 | 13 | 18 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 43 |
| white catfish | Ameiurus | catus | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| western mosquitofish | Gambusia | affinis | 2 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 18 |

