

### Wet Weather Consent Decree Implementation Update

### Planning Committee

January 14, 2020





- Background
- EBMUD Work
  - Regional Private Sewer Lateral (PSL) Program
  - Regional Technical Support Program (RTSP)
  - Capital Projects
- Compliance Progress
- Next Steps





- September 22, 2014 EBMUD <u>and</u> Satellite agencies enter into Consent Decree
- Consent Decree is designed to remove inflow and infiltration (I&I) from the regional collection system to prevent discharging from Wet Weather Facilities (WWFs)
- Rehabilitation/repair/replacement to be performed by the responsible party
  - Homeowners/business owners responsible for PSLs
  - Satellite agencies responsible for public sewer mains/manholes
  - District is responsible for the Interceptor System

## Background





### I&I: Inflow and Infiltration



- · Effective period of 22 years
- Key checkpoints throughout
  - Check-in #1 2022
  - Check-in #2 2030
  - Overall compliance 2036
- Failure to meet check-in targets results in revised work requirements with significant EPA discretion



- · Regional PSL Program
- $\cdot$  RTSP
- · Capital Projects
  - Pump Station Q Force Main Flow Reversal Project
  - Urban Runoff Diversion Project

### EBMUD Work Regional PSL Program

 PSL is privately-owned pipe that conveys waste flows from property to publiclyowned sewer mains



 Collectively, PSLs in the region are equivalent in length to the publiclyowned regional collection system

## EBMUD Work Regional PSL Program



- Regional PSL Program includes all of SD-1, except for the City of Berkeley (which manages its own)
- Approx. 36,670 certificates issued since 2011
  - 28% of all parcels within program boundaries
  - Approx. 570 miles of PSLs certified leak-free
  - Certifications remain 20% behind projections



- Program Components
  - Requires minimum of \$2 million per year to identify sources of I&I
  - EBMUD identifies specific sources of I&I
  - Satellite communities pursue source elimination



### EBMUD Work RTSP Program Significance





Technical approach to identify significant flow sources and understand system response to storms

Supplements satellite mainline sewer rehabilitation and Regional PSL Program to achieve further flow reductions

Critical to meet Consent Decree check-in targets and discharge elimination date

## EBMUD Work RTSP Investigations Performed

### Unique Investigation Methodologies Used



Manhole inspections





Flow isolation studies



Dye testing



Smoke testing

## EBMUD Work RTSP Findings to Date



- Over 300 specific sources of I&I identified to date totaling over 15 MGD of peak flow during a storm event
  - Significant number of small sources have been identified throughout the regional collection system
  - Rate of identification has been increasing yearover-year



- Compliance at check-in is based on modeled discharge reductions at the WWFs relative to baseline
- · Annual modeling is done to assess progress
- Fifth annual calibration completed in December 2019

Facility	Baseline Volume (MG)	FY19 Volume (MG)	Reduction (%)
Point Isabel WWF	23.3	22.4	4%
San Antonio Creek WWF	13.2	9.8	26%
Oakport WWF	53.7	40.5	25%
Total	90.2	72.7	19%

### Compliance Progress Point Isabel WWF

Output Ratio = modeled current discharge/modeled baseline discharge



Point Isabel WWF <u>remains</u> at risk of not being in compliance for the 2022 check-in

FY19 Three-Year-Average Output Ratio = 97% (3% reduction)

### Compliance Progress San Antonio Creek WWF



San Antonio Creek WWF <u>remains</u> at risk of not being in compliance for the 2022 check-in

FY19 Three-Year-Average Output Ratio = 81% (19% reduction)

### Compliance Progress Oakport WWF



Oakport WWF <u>remains</u> at risk of not being in compliance for the 2022 check-in

#### FY19

Three-Year-Average Output Ratio = 80% (20% reduction)

## **Compliance Progress**

- System-wide reductions have varied due to climatological impacts
  - FY15 was the fourth year of a drought
  - FY17 had 65% more rain than average
  - Last three year's precipitation is close to that expected from four years



- System-wide, work has been effective at removing I&I
  - Localized reductions vary
  - Multi-seasonal climatological impacts have significant influence on annual results
- All three WWFs are currently at risk of not being in compliance at the 2022 check-in
  - Oakport WWF trended well for FY18 and FY19 compared to targeted levels and appears likely for meeting compliance
  - San Antonio Creek WWF, due to limited volume, looks promising
  - Point Isabel WWF is unlikely to achieve compliance



### North Interceptor Relief Sewer

aka – Pump Station Q Force Main/Gravity Interceptor Reverse Flow

- Two CIP Underground Valve Vaults
  - Buchanan Street
  - Page Street
- Two Precast HDPE/FRP-lined Weir Structures at Virginia Street
- 23 LF FRP Rectangular Pipe
- 1,950 LF of 36-in PVC Pipe
- Five Precast HDPE-lined Manholes





#### **Buchanan Diversion Structure**



#### Virginia Weir Structure







- Divert dry weather flow from Alameda County Stormwater pump station in Oakland (approx. 500,000 gpd)
- Mitigation project for ongoing operation of WWFs
- Over 300 MG diverted to the MWWTP





- $\cdot$  Continue to implement and refine RTSP
- Continue implementation of Regional PSL Program
- Continue collaboration with Satellite agencies to locate and remove I&I
- Continue to monitor performance regarding flow reductions and prepare for the 2022 check-in



### West County Wastewater District Recycled Water Supply Agreement

Planning Committee January 14, 2020





- Background
- Proposed Terms for New Water Supply Agreement
- · Financial Analysis
- Next Steps

### Water Recycling Facilities Serving Chevron Refinery

West County Wastewater District (WCWD)

Richmond Refinery Richmond Advanced Recycled Expansion (RARE) Water Facility BMUD

### North Richmond Water Reclamation Plant

- Product water has been used in Chevron cooling towers since 1995
- Chevron cooling towers have sensitivity to ammonia
  - NRWRP not designed to remove ammonia
  - WCWD treatment plant not originally designed to consistently control ammonia



## **EBMUD Operational Challenges**

- Increased chlorine dosing to oxidize ammonia
  - Ammonia levels varied greatly and were not predictable
  - Limited effectiveness, unreliable, costly
- Blended recycled water product with potable water to meet Chevron requirements (avoid plant shutdown)
  - Waste of potable water
- Chevron had ongoing concern with highly variable water quality

## WCWD's Recycled Water Reliability Upgrade Project Operational 2018

- Facility was upgraded to meet likely future nutrient requirements (i.e., ammonia limits)
  - Provides reliable water supply for NRWRP and Chevron needs
- Operational costs for WCWD will be higher to achieve lower ammonia limits

# Key Concepts in New Agreement

- EBMUD pays operating costs (chemical and energy) to achieve lower ammonia concentration
  - These costs will decrease when WCWD has discharge permit that includes ammonia limits
- Payment based on actual quality of effluent delivered
  - Key new term in agreement

## Payment Terms



Monthly avg. effluent ammonia (mg/L)	Percent of monthly operating cost reimbursement to be paid by EBMUD to WCWD (%)	Approx. monthly operating cost reimbursement to be paid by EBMUD to WCWD (\$/mo)	
≤2	100%	\$17,000	
>2 & ≤3	60%	\$10,200	
>3	0%	\$0	

<u>Additional incentive of \$2,000 per month</u> will be paid by EBMUD to WCWD if monthly average ammonia  $\leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$ 

•Represents sharing chemical cost savings at NRWRP due to ammonia  $\leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$ 

## **Financial Assessment**

- Previous Agreement
  - EBMUD paid approx. \$12k to \$16k/month to WCWD for operational enhancements
- Proposed Agreement
  - EBMUD pays approx. \$17k/month if ammonia < 2 mg/L</li>
  - Amount is prorated if ammonia > 2 mg/L
  - Monthly cost adjusted annually based on unit cost changes for chemicals and energy



- WCWD upgrades provide greater capability for consistent, reliable water quality
- EBMUD pays increased operating costs for WCWD to achieve reduced ammonia concentrations
- Monetary incentives for WCWD to meet water quality criteria
  - Payment tied to actual quality of water delivered



• Agencies bring Agreement to their Boards for approval



### Main Wastewater Treatment Plant Gas Flare Improvements Update

### Planning Committee January 14, 2020





- Review Main Wastewater Treatment
   Plant (MWWTP) Digester Gas System
- Gas Flare Improvements Project Update
- Key Air Permit Conditions
- Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) Offsets
- Next Steps

# MWWTP Digester Gas System



## MWWTP Digester Gas System

- System Operation
  - Digester gas utilized in turbine, engines, and boiler for renewable energy
  - Power Generation Station (PGS) generates enough power to support plant loads and sells excess power to Port of Oakland

### Gas Flare Improvement Project Updates

#### Gas Flare Procurement and Installation Project Timeline



Early 2017:

### Gas Flare Improvement Project Updates

District Flare Improvement Efforts		April 2018: DC Water (Washington DC, Blue Diains WWTD) had	Septe	mber 2019:
<b>Early 2017</b> : Initial testing showed flares did not meet performance criteria for NOx and combustion zone temperature	November 2017: Forn flares compliance test not pass	nal did granted permit changes by their local air board after a	programming improvements to better integrate the enclosed flares	
July 2017: Flare performance improvem evaluation by consultar 2017	ent its <b>2018</b>	three year process	2019	
		<b>2017 to 2019:</b> 1. Ammonia identified in digester gas		
October 2017: Completed mechanical		<ol> <li>Ammonia is a large contributor to fue</li> <li>District studied and tested digester g</li> <li>BAAQMD request</li> <li>District presented findings to BAAQM</li> </ol>	I-borne NOx emissions as for ammonia per ID; high ammonia	October to November 2019: Negotiated permit changes with

concentrations in digester gas compared to other POTWs

changes with BAAQMD and completed formal flare compliance test

EBMUD

improvements

#### 6



- Digester Air Permit Conditions
  - No release of digester gas to atmosphere (unless limited exception applies)
  - Gas that is not used in turbine, engine and boiler <u>must</u> be flared
  - Digester gas production annual average limit is 3,400 scfm\*
    - Average gas production is 2,300 scfm
    - · Peak gas production is 4,000 scfm

\*scfm = standard cubic feet per minute



- Original flares installed in 1950s are grandfathered in (pre-Clean Air Act)
- New flares must meet current air regulations
  - Best Available Control Technology (BACT)
  - Emission limits
- Elevated ammonia in District digester gas is rare
  - Trucked waste and treatment process

# Key Air Permit Conditions

- Flare Air Permit Conditions
  - Emission limits (based on BACT)
    - · NOx 0.06 lb/MMBtu
    - ·CO 0.20 lb/MMBtu
  - Combustion zone temperature 1,500F, three hour average
- $\cdot$  Not meeting NOx limit
- District engaged BAAQMD on permit issues



- Tentative New Flare Air Permit Conditions
  - Emission Limits
    - · NOx 0.12 lb/MMBtu
    - ·CO 0.20 lb/MMBtu
  - Combustion zone temperature 1,200F, 15 minute start-up exclusion
- November 7, 2019 test results met applicable emission limits



- Higher NOx limit requires additional offsets to be given to BAAQMD
  - The higher NOx limit will require giving BAAQMD 5.5 tons of NOx emission reduction credits
  - District already provided 5.5 tons of offsets to BAAQMD during initial permitting



- Only Bay Area emission reduction credits may be used to offset NOx emissions
  - Only about 25 credit certificate holders
  - Sold through brokers on open market



- Finalize tentative permit conditions with BAAQMD
  - Source test results from November 7, 2019 test submitted to BAAQMD in January 2020
- Purchase NOx offsets (February 2020)
  - Estimated market value is \$15,000 to \$18,000 per ton
  - BD-1 to Board on January 28, 2020 meeting for purchase
  - Request to spend up to \$100,000 for offsets
- Finalize Permit to Operate



### Facility Landscape Maintenance

Planning Committee January 14, 2020







- Background
- Proposed work
- Union outreach
- Next steps





- Vegetation management at over 400 facilities in the East Bay
- Includes water treatment plants, pumping plants, reservoirs, service centers, and administration buildings
- Methods include manual and mechanical weeding, mowing, contract labor (Civicorp), and contract goats
- Highly variable workload

### **Schedule and Resources**



- Fire fuel abatement requirements
  - Typically between April and October
  - Work mandated by local fire codes
- Requires all resources to address, creating backlog of deferred work
- Workload and public expectations increasing

## Staffing Levels



### FY10 - FY20 Grounds Maintenance Staffing Levels



### **Proposed Agreement**



- · Address peak workload during fire season
  - Pruning, weeding, and planting activities
  - District administration buildings, service centers, and Oakport
- Provides equivalent of 2-3 FTE over 7 months
  - 2 person crew needed to accomplish the work
- Approximately \$575,330 over 5 years

### **Affected Facilities**





Red: Facilities requiring fire fuel abatement work.

Blue: Facilities covered under the proposed agreement.

### **Cost Comparison**



Resource	Cost	Comment	
Contract	\$115,000	Prevailing wage	
District forces	\$186,000	Salary, benefits, overhead & equipment	

Proposed agreement (3 years, with 2 potential annual extensions)

- Approximately 1,720 hours per year

1.1 FTE used for comparison purposes, actual staffing would involve 2-person crew to efficiently perform the work.

### **Union Outreach**



- September 5, 2019 Courtesy notification to Local 444
- September 6, 2019 Local 444 Contracting Out notice
- October 3, 2019 Local 444 Contracting Out committee meeting
- November 18, 2019 Local 444
   Contracting Out committee meeting





- Facility Landscape Maintenance Agreement for Board consideration at January 14, 2020 Board meeting
- Fill remaining Grounds Maintenance Specialist Il position



