



Water Supply Management Program 2040

Agenda

**WSMP 2040
Community Liaison Committee Meeting
Catch-up Session
Thursday, March 6, 2008
12:30 – 3:00 PM**

1. WSMP 2040 Overview
2. Demand Study
3. Water Supply Model & Need for Water Results
 - a. Drought Planning Sequence
 - b. Global Climate Change
4. Evaluation Criteria
5. Solution Components:
 - a. Supplemental Supply
 - Water Transfers
 - b. Recycled Water Projects
 - c. Conservation
 - d. Rationing
6. Other Comments or Questions



Community Liaison Committee - Extra Catch-up Meeting

March 6, 2008 12:00 - 2:30 pm
EBMUD Administration Building
375 Eleventh Street, Oakland
Meeting Room 6A/B

Attendees

Walt Gill, Betty Graham, Laura Harnish, Eleanor Loynd

EBMUD Attendees

An Bartlett, Mike Tognolini

Project Team Attendees

David Blau, Lois Humphreys, Yanna McLaughlin, Marcia Tobin

1. Proceedings

Lois Humphreys welcomed the CLC members and facilitated the introduction of all participants.

Consultant Team Project Leader, David Blau of EDAW, gave a re-cap presentation in which he provided an overview of the WSMP 2040 program and the contents of all CLC meetings to date in order to prepare the CLC members who had missed previous meetings for the upcoming fifth CLC meeting.

2. Next CLC Meeting Dates

- The next CLC meetings are scheduled for:
 - Monday, April 7, 2008 from 6-7:30 PM (comment on costs for component screening and initial portfolio screening) May not be held in Large Training Room. Please confirm location upon RSVP.
 - Monday, May 19, 2008 from 6-9 PM
 - CANCELLED - Monday, June 2, 2008 from 6-9 PM
 - Tuesday, June 24, 2008 from 8:30-11AM Board Workshop. CLC members are encouraged to attend the June 24, 2008 Board Workshop (#9) to provide their input when the Board chooses the preferred portfolio.
 - Tuesday, September 9, 2008 from 6-9 PM

All Meetings will be held in EBMUD's Large Training Room in Oakland. Dinner will be provided at all meetings. Please RSVP with Lois Humphreys under (925) 778-8803 or via email: lois@trgandassociates.com.

3. Summary of Questions & Comments during the March 6, 2008 CLC Catch-up Meeting

[Q = Question; A = Answer; C = Comment]



Q: (B. Graham): who do we look to for water transfer in Sacramento Basin?

A: Ag/Plumas County/Yuba County but it is not too much potential, large land owners (individual), Placer County (not well defined right now). Water transfer is not as simple, but is feasible now with Freeport project, and for dry years only.

Q: (B Graham): What is EBMUD's position on the Peripheral Canal in the Delta?

A: EBMUD's concerns are Fish (Salmon) & Aqueduct Security. But there is no real position on the peripheral canal at the moment.

Q: (B Graham): Is Middle River pumping in the mix?

A: No. It is considered a part of the Bixler component. In the Delta's future it is too uncertain, especially during a drought frequency.

Q: (L Harnish): What is the per capita use of water? Can you get the active use (instead of MGD)?

A: An average is difficult to estimate. Conservation is always baseline.

Q: (L Harnish): How do you demonstrate that you are serious about Water Transfers and that you are pursuing it to the maximum possible? What are the possibilities of Water Transfers in wet water years?

A: We will look into it.

Q: (B Graham): Is there a link between Desalination and Water Transfers? This could be an Accounting issue?

A: there is a link. The topic has been studied. It might happen between Contra Costa and Santa Clara.

Q: (L Harnish): Is EBMUD discouraged by Bayside? Does EBMUD consider other groundwater alternatives?

A: EBMUD is not discouraged; however, there are not really strong candidates for groundwater.

Q: (B Graham): What about local codes requiring dual plumbing, recycling in developments? San Francisco mandates dual plumbing for commercials, and other counties in southern California have this mandated.

A: Local areas in EBMUD service have dual plumbing but not in the entire service area.

Q: (W Gill): Have you looked at future developments and associated water demand (in the Central Valley) and how that would affect demand?

A: Not in detail. This project focused on the detailed Demand Study in Service area.



Water Supply Management Program 2040

Meeting Minutes Community Liaison Committee Meeting March 6, 2008

Q: (E Loynd): Are you prepared for disasters?

A: It is our objective to have high operational flexibility; however, disaster planning is outside of this program.

Q: (L Harnish): The big question for this project to which it comes down to is: Are we being visionary enough?

Other discussion points:

- We need to plan for more uncertainty. Potential extra water saving possibilities need to be kept.
- EBMUD just arranged for a new water supply intertie with San Francisco for emergencies. The supply can go both ways.
- Partnerships with Upcountry/ Sacramento Agencies are potentially limited, would mean separate arrangements and short-term only.
- Freeport: has 100 MGD capacity: 50 MGD for existing agreements and 50 MGD that are available for transfers/other uses.
- Recycled Water projects: 5 MGD is the cost cut-off point.
- Use Recycling Baseline projects - Recycling projects that operate all years (not just when there is a drought).