# EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT SAN PABLO RESERVOIR WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL NO. 557-16-01

### ADDENDUM NO. 1 May 19, 2016

**RESPONSE DUE:** June 1, 2016 @ 4:00 PM (PDT)

LOCATION: EBMUD, Purchasing Division

376 Eleventh St., First Floor

Oakland, CA 94607

Proposers shall acknowledge receipt of this addendum in the space provided on the Response Packet.

#### RFP NO. 557-16-01

- A1-1. Task 2 Review and Analyze Existing Information and Data
  - A. Under item 5 Reports, add the following "c. East Bay Watershed Sanitary Survey Report Update 2015, January 2016."
- A1-2. Exhibit A, Page 1
  - A. In the first bullet, second line, please replace "... FIVE (5) COPIES..." with the "... THREE (3) COPIES..."

This Addendum No. 1 shall become part of the RFQ and all provisions of the Contract shall apply thereto.

Proposers shall acknowledge receipt of all Addenda by number in the space provided in the RFQ.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1

## EAST BAY MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT SAN PABLO RESERVOIR WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL NO. 557-16-01

## Question and Answer No. 1 May 19, 2016

1. Has EBMUD collected algal community/species identification and/or algal cell count data to complement the existing in situ data for San Pablo Reservoir?

Answer: Yes, the District has collected algal community/species identification and algal cell count data to complement the in situ data.

2. Are there existing bathymetric data for San Pablo Reservoir and, if so, when were these data collected?

Answer: No, the District does not have any recent bathymetric data for San Pablo Reservoir. The last bathymetric data is from 1965.

3. Are there existing land use data for the San Pablo Reservoir watershed (e.g., 5-year Sanitary Survey)?

Answer: Yes, the land use data is available and the last watershed sanitary survey for the San Pablo Reservoir was done in 2015. Review of this report is added to Task 2 of the RFP. Please see Addendum No. 1.

4. In Exhibit A, the Proposal Form indicates that no alterations or changes of any kind are to be made. Can we include different labor classifications than those listed for the consultant and sub-consultants (i.e., beyond PM, Project Engineer, Drafting, etc.)?

Answer: Yes, you can include different labor classifications than those listed for the consultant and sub-consultants.

5. Exhibit A, Page 5 states that "No alterations or changes of any kind to the Proposal Form(s) are permitted. RFP responses that do not comply may be subject to rejection in total." For the sake of providing the District with the most comprehensive and accurate information for our proposal, we wanted to ask if it would be acceptable to reformat the proposal forms and other forms provided by the District to allow more space for the most thorough information? Also, will we be allowed to add information to the forms electronically, or is it the District's intention to have the consultants hand-write all necessary data for all forms?

Answer: Yes, you can revise the forms as long as the essential information requested is included and you are allowed to add information to the forms electronically.

6. On page 18 of the RFP it states to "Proposers are to submit one (1) original hardcopy RFP response (Exhibit A – RFP Response Packet, including Contract Equity Program forms and all additional documentation stated in the "Required Documentation and Submittals" section of Exhibit A), all with original ink signatures. Please include three (3) additional hardcopies of the RFP.; "On Exhibit A, Page 1 it states "AS DESCRIBED IN SECTION IV- RFP RESPONSE SUBMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS AND INFORMATION, PROPOSERS ARE TO SUBMIT ONE (1) ORIGINAL HARDCOPY RFP RESPONSE WITH ORIGINAL INK SIGNATURES, FIVE (5) COPIES, AND ONE (1) ELECTRONIC COPY (in PDF format and on a CD or flash drive) CONTAINING THE FOLLOWING, IN THEIR ENTIRETY:" Can you please confirm how many hard copies and electronic copies that the District expects to receive for this RFP?

Answer: Three additional hard copies and one electronic copy are sufficient. Please see Addendum No. 1.